



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,945	12/15/2003	James R. Geschwindt	C-2950	5524
7590 09/14/2009				
M. P. Williams 210 Main Street Manchester, CT 06040			EXAMINER LAIOS, MARIA J	
			ART UNIT 1795	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/14/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center"><b>Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/736,945	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GESCHWINDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARIA J. LAIOS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARIA J. LAIOS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mel Williams. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lui et al. (US 2004/0058220 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Clarification of the rejection for claim 2 was discussed regarding the limitations pertaining to the fluid flow field and the terminology of manifold, chamber, and distributor with respect to Figures 5 and 6, the elected species. Also, proposed amendments were discussed in order to overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795
--	---